
Soheila Saeedi, Shahrzad Amirazodi, Sorayya Rezayi, Taleb Khodaveisi

1Department of Health Information Technology, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran,
2Department of Management and Health Information Technology, School of Management and Medical Information, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
3Health Information Management and Medical Informatics Department, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: Data related to COVID-19 are increasing daily; the data analysis of the COVID-19 registry can provide critical information to policymakers and researchers for planning. The poor user interface design of these systems leads to problems in interacting with them. The present study aimed to evaluate the usability of two national systems to record daily data related to COVID-19 patients throughout hospitals in Iran called the “Medical Care Monitoring Center” (MCMC) and the “Electronic System for Syndromic Surveillance of Infectious Diseases” (ISSS).

Methods: The interface of the aforementioned systems was assessed using a heuristic evaluation (HE) method in this descriptive, cross-sectional study. Using Nielsen’s 10 usability principles, three trained evaluators identified problems and determined each system’s severity rates independently.

Results: This evaluation identified 164 usability problems, including 59 issues in the “ISSS” system and 105 violations in the “MCMC” system. The highest number of ISSS system problems was related to “Consistency and standard” and “Flexibility and efficiency of use”. The average severity of problems in this system varied from 2 concerning “Consistency and standards”, “Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors”, and “Help and documentation” to 3.6 concerning “Visibility of system status”. The highest number of MCMC system problems was associated with “Help and documentation” and “Consistency and standards”. The average severity of problems in this system varied from 2 to 3.28 regarding “Help and documentation” and “Recognition rather than recall”, respectively.

Conclusion: Errors may be decreased, data quality can be raised, and interactions can be enhanced by taking into account standards and guidelines for user interface design, such as the HE utilized in this work.
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Introduction

China reported cases of severe pneumonia with no known cause in December 2019, and the World Health Organization labeled the illness as a global pandemic on March 11, 2020. (1). This illness, also referred to as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), is caused by the same family of viruses as Middle East respiratory syndrome and SARS (2,3). The coronavirus quickly spread to other countries and became a global problem. The clinical symptoms of COVID-19 usually begin less than a week after the coronavirus enters the body and can include shortness of breath, fever, muscle aches, nasal congestion, and other symptoms. The infection can lead to severe illness with shortness of breath and severe chest symptoms related to pneumonia in approximately 75% of patients (4).

Data related to COVID-19 are increasing day by day. Valuable knowledge can be extracted by managing data on COVID-19 registry. Developing a registry can lead to data collection on patients with COVID-19 in a standard, integrated, and unified structure (5). The disease’s progression can be investigated by collecting data in the registry, and the quality of care provided can be examined as well (6,7). On the other hand, drugs’ effectiveness can be evaluated with the help of data recorded in the registry (8). In addition, the data analysis results recorded in the...
The COVID-19 registry can provide an infrastructure that can be used to investigate the pattern of disease transmission, mortality rate, clinical signs, disease prevalence in different parts of the country, the effectiveness of treatment programs, and thus monitoring and research (9-11).

“Medical Care Monitoring Center” (MCMC) and “Electronic System for Syndromic Surveillance of Infectious Diseases” (ISSS) are two systems that are used to record daily data related to COVID-19 patients in Iran. Both systems developed by the Ministry of Health are utilized as national systems throughout hospitals in Iran and have many users.

One of the problems that lead to the non-acceptance of these information systems is the problem related to user interface design and usability. These problems should be considered at the time of design of the user interface, before the implementation of these systems, and before the everyday use of information systems by users. The existence of usability problems can negatively affect the use of these systems and lead to abandonment and non-use by healthcare providers and, ultimately, the failure of an information system (12). Therefore, these two national systems’ usability should be evaluated to identify problems related to the design of their graphical interfaces in order to prevent further consequences.

One of the most common evaluation methods to identify usability problems is heuristic evaluation (HE). With this method’s help, a large number of system problems can be identified at a low cost, and many resources are not required for evaluation (13). One of the most widely used HE tools is Nielsen’s ten principles. In this method, the evaluators examine the systems independently and consider discrepancies between the items observed in the system design and these principles as a problem (14,15).

In a study, Rangraz Jeddi et al evaluated a national system design and these principles as a problem (14,15). First, three evaluators explored the user interface structures of the MCMC and ISSS systems. Next, they independently evaluated two registration systems’ user interfaces one by one against 10 Nielsen principles (Table 1) and entered the violations of the systems in the data collection checklist; each assessment lasted approximately 5 hours.

### Characteristics of Evaluators

One health information technology (HIT) specialists and two medical informaticians assessed the user interfaces of two registry systems. The first and second evaluators were Ph.D. students in medical informatics who were familiar with different registry systems and various evaluation methods. They had more than two years of experience working with “MCMC” and “ISSS”. The third evaluator was an MSc in HIT. She had experience working with two registration systems and was familiar with HE methods.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study Setting and Systems

This evaluation was performed with two national registry systems, namely, MCMC and ISSS. MCMC was created to arrange medical services monitoring through improving the quality of services, improving processes, handling effective communication, accelerating services, and integrating management. The fundamental purpose of establishing the ISSS system was to report community-based epidemics and early detection. In addition, these two surveillance systems are applied by healthcare providers to record COVID-19 patients’ information; however, it is worth noting that they were not designed only to monitor and record COVID-19. Because these two systems are currently used in the COVID-19 period, the primary purpose of this study was to evaluate them.

HE is an expert-based inspection, cost-effective, and straightforward method that identifies “Minor” and “Major” violations in a system user interface. The usability evaluators independently appraised the MCMC and ISSS systems’ usability. This study identified usability problems related to the COVID-19 data registry. These results can be used by the designers and custodians of this system, the Ministry of Health, to improve these user interfaces and increase users’ satisfaction.

### Results

In this study, evaluation was performed based on the HE method for “MCMC” and “ISSS” systems, and a total of 164 usability problems (for two evaluated systems) were identified after duplication removal. In the analysis step, three evaluators recognized 59 usability problems of the
In the ISSS system, the highest frequencies of violations were related to principles 4 (i.e., “Consistency and standard”) and 7 (i.e., “Flexibility and efficiency of use”). In the MCMC system, the highest frequencies of problems belonged to principles 10 (i.e., “Help and documentation”) and 4 (i.e., “Consistency and standards”). Based on ISSS system evaluation results, the highest average severities of violations were determined in the principles “Visibility of system status” and “User control and freedom”, with scores of 3.6 and 2.87, respectively. In the MCMC system evaluation, the highest average severities of usability-oriented problems were observed in principles “Recognition rather than recall” and “User control and freedom”, with scores of 3.28 and 2.88. Table 3 presents the frequencies of identified usability violations based on their severity and the heuristic problems for MCMC and ISSS systems. Of the total determined violations in the ISSS system, 24 and 21 cases were major and minor problems, respectively. Furthermore, in the MCMC system, 50 cases were minor and 36 of the total problems were major. The detailed severity range results for both systems are shown in Figure 2. Figure 3 illustrates several examples of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No problem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I don’t agree that this is a usability problem at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>It needs not be fixed unless extra time is available for the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fixing this should be given low priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>It is important to fix, so it should be given high priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>It is imperative to fix this before the product can be released.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heuristic evaluation of two national systems

Discussion

In this study, MCMC and ISSS systems were evaluated using the heuristic method. The findings demonstrated that the MCMC system had a greater number of usability problems than the ISSS. Overall, 105 and 59 usability problems were identified in the HE of the MCMC and ISSS systems, respectively. In the MCMC system, 49% of the identified problems were major or catastrophic. In the ISSS system, 54% of the identified problems were of major and catastrophic types.

The HE method was employed in this study to determine the usability problems with the two systems pertaining to COVID-19 patient data recording. A study comparing heuristic and user testing evaluation methods concluded that more problems could be identified with the HE method. Moreover, the heuristic method could identify problems more quickly, and therefore it was more efficient and effective than the user testing method (17).

Nearly half of the issues found in the MCMC system were of major and catastrophic types. The assessment of the ISSS system also showed that over half of its usability issues were of the major, catastrophic variety. Three factors are taken into consideration when determining the severity of a problem, including its frequency, impact, and persistence. Solving major problems is somewhat important, and solving catastrophic problems is also highly necessary and has an extremely high priority. The designers of these two systems (MCMC and ISSS) should note that they are used nationally and have many users in hospitals throughout Iran. The existence of these problems violations, as well as the details of each problem and the number of each problem.

Table 3. Frequencies of Identified Usability Violations Based on Their Severity for ISSS and MCMC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles of Heuristic Evaluation</th>
<th>ISSS Registration System</th>
<th>MCMC Registration System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average severity (%)</td>
<td>Average severity (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cosmetic</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility of system status</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match between the system and the real world</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User control and freedom</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency and standards</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error prevention</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition rather than recall</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility and efficiency of use</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetic and minimalist design</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help and documentation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ISSS: Electronic system for syndromic surveillance of infectious diseases; MCMC: Medical care monitoring center.
can interfere with the correct and accurate registration of information.

With nine problems, the principles of “Consistency and standards” and “Flexibility and efficiency of use” had the highest number of problems found in the ISSS system. The “Consistency and standards” principle was linked to 19.04% of the problems found in the MCMC system as well. This study’s results are consistent with those of studies of Sadeqi Jabali et al and Nabovati et al (18,19). The two studies evaluated “Admission and Medical Record Information Systems” and “Laboratory and Radiology Information Systems”. In the study of Atashi et al, the highest number of problems was related to this principle, and out of 99 unique problems identified, 25% were related to this principle (20). These studies demonstrated that information system designers have not paid enough attention to this principle in user interface design. Therefore, it is recommended to notice user interface design standards when designing information systems.

With 23 problems, the “Help and documentation” principle had the most problems in the MCMC system. The system did not provide any online help to users, and they might perform a function incorrectly or stop performing a function. In the system evaluated by Nabovati et al, this principle was wholly ignored and led to users’ problems performing tasks (19). In another study, problems concerning the “Help and documentation” principle were rated as catastrophic (21). The existence of the before-mentioned problems in the user interface of various information systems, especially those whose users are not very familiar with such systems, can lead to their confusion and, as a result, lead to their dissatisfaction.

The highest average severity of violations was related to the ISSS system and the “Visibility of system status” principle with 3.6 and was graded as a catastrophic problem. In the study of Farzandipour et al, usability problems associated with the principle of “Visibility of system status” were identified as the most severe types (22). To solve these problems, information systems must be developed to inform the user about what is happening through appropriate feedback and at the right time.

This study identified usability problems related to the COVID-19 data registry. These results can be utilized by the designers and custodians of this system, the Ministry of Health, to enhance user interfaces and increase users’ satisfaction.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This study had some strengths and limitations. The Nielsen questionnaire has some benefits. We can mention several principles that can be used to assess many aspects of a health information system. Compared to other unstructured data collection techniques such as interview and observation, a structured questionnaire, including the Nielsen questionnaire, can facilitate the assessing process, and evaluators can identify more violations during evaluations of information systems. This study was limited by the fact that access to the national system required cooperation with the Ministry of Health, which established it.

**Conclusion**

The findings of the evaluation of MCMC and ISSS systems revealed that nearly half of the identified issues belonged to the category of major and catastrophic problems. These usability problems can significantly impact the acceptance
and continued use of these systems by end-users. Many identified problems can be solved easily. Considering that these two systems are used nationally, it is essential to pay attention to solving the problems identified by these systems’ designers and custodians. On the other hand, evaluating the systems’ user interface in the initial stage and before widespread use is necessary.
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